New WSDOT-Developed Job Aids for ADA Curb Ramp Evaluation and Design: Show and Tell

Ron Franzen

NWR Project Development Trainer & Statewide ADA Trainer Regional ADA Coordination Team NWR Engineering Services

Paula Hammond

Steve Reinmuth

Secretary of Transportation

Chief of Staff

NWPMA Annual Conference Vancouver, WA October 25th, 2012



Introducing the CREF

The Curb Ramp Evaluation Form (CREF) is a tool developed to ensure completeness of data collection when performing curb ramp investigations in the field.

The CREF also can generate standardized, legible and professional looking hard-copy and electronic documentation for scoping files, project files, design documentation, and other databases.

The CREF is <u>NOT</u> a checklist of ADA curb ramp requirements. Rather, the CREF is designed to ensure that all data relevant to making a determination of compliance with state and federal accessibility standards is collected.

Washington State	Curb Ramp Evaluation Form Reset Form
Department of Transportation	Sheet 1 of
Date of Investigation	Project Title
Region (Area)	Field Investigator(s)
Incorporated City No Yes If Yes," Na	me of City Project Development Phase
Curb Ramp Location (Use Table Below and Indica	ate on Figure to the Right) Northbound
North Leg	SR Local Street Other N/A
South Leg	SR Local Street Other N/A
West Leg	SR Local Street Other N/A
East Leg	SR Local Street Other N/A
Curb Ramp - General Information	
Curb Ramp Type Perpendicular Pa	rallel Combination Missing
If Parallel Curb Ramp, number of ramps	
If Combination Curb Ramp, number of perp	endicular ramps 1 2
If Combination Curb Ramp, number of paral	llel ramps 1 2 3
Number of Landings (Turning Spaces)	0 1 2
Single Curb Ramp serving two crosswalks (a	aka Diagonal Curb Ramp)? Yes No
	e: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate
numbering diagram and use subsequent form sheets to Curb ramp connection to the roadway completely	
Matched by another ourb ramp/landing at the oth Ramp alignment meets the gutter grade brea	
Does a Pedestrian Circulation Path intersect	
If "Yes," is a flare present on each intersecte	
	are perpendicular to the direction of wheeled mobility device travel?
	led (4 ft. x 4 ft. min.) entirely within the crosswalk view of the parallel vehicle travel lane(s)? N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway
Is the ramp's vertical alignment planar (i.e.; i	ts vertical profile does not contain angle point(s)]?
Is the connection between the curb ramp an	
	connects to its landing and/or the walkway flush?
Is there a grating, access cover, or utility obj	ect located on the ramp? Yes No If "Yes," Describe
Grating, access cover, or utility object located in	
Standing water at base of ramp?	Yes Unknown (i.e.; observed in dry conditions) No - Verified during precipitation event
Ramp #1 - Slopes and Dimensions (Note: For diagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate e	Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps landings attach the appropriate numbering ach ramp landing separately.]
Clear width (Ft.) Cross slope (%)	Running slope (%) Counter slope (%) Flare slope #1(%)
Ramp #1 - Detectable Warning Surface [Note use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each rampfan	e: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings ding separately.] N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway Flare slope #2(%)
Detectable Warning Surface present?	
Depth (Ft.) Width (Ft.) Contras	st (light on dark/dark on light)? Yes No Color?
	ted domes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the gutter? Yes No
Irunca	
Inunca	Print Form

CREF* User's Manual

[*Curb Ramp Evaluation Form]



Preparing for the field evaluation

- Open the CREF file
- Estimate the number of curb ramps to be evaluated and print out the appropriate number of both page 1 and page 2 of the file.

Notes:

- 1. Page 1 is for evaluating a ramp while page 2 is for evaluating a landing. Thus a typical perpendicular curb ramp with landing requires two sheets for the evaluation.
- If there are "Type A" parallel curb ramps (i.e.; two ramps and a landing) to be evaluated, print the appropriate number of copies of page 3 to cover the additional ramps.
- Similarly, if there are any combination curb ramps to be evaluated then extra copies of landing (page 4) and ramp (pages 3 & 5-7) sheets will be needed.

n • /	Print Printer Name: \\nwhqseprint01\411101Konica6LP Status: Ready Type: KONICA MINOLTA 350/250/200 P5	Properties Help Comments and Forms: Document
s " Name of Cit Indicate on Fig SR SR SR SR	Print Range All Current view Current page Pages 1,2	Preview: Composite K 8.5 T Image: Composite Image: Composite Image: Composite Image: Compos
Parallel	Print color as black Advanced Summarize Comments	Paper: 8.5 × 11.0in 1/2 (1) OK Cancel

Preparing for the field evaluation

For economy of State resources, the form pages can be printed Black & White for the field evaluation...saving the Color printing for the final product after data entry back at the office.

Curb Ramp Ev	aluation Form	-
Washington State Department of Transportation Sheet 1 of		
Date of Investigation / / Project Title		
Region (Area) Field Investigato	eta)	
Incorporated City No Yes It "Yes," Name of City	Project Development Phase	
Curb Ramp Location (Use Table Below and Indicate on Figure to the Right)	Northbound	
Date Discourse and the second second		
East Log Sk Cock Street Other Curb Ramp - General Information		
Curb Ramp Type Perpendicular Parallel Combination	Mining Prove - Contra	
If Parallel Curb Ramp, number of namps 1 2		
	CCC V V CC C	
If Combination Curb Ramp, number of perpendicular ramps 1 1 If Combination Curb Ramp, number of parallel ramps 1 1	202 0 0 0 0 0	
	 cl_ch_lc 	
Number of Landings (Turning Spaces) 0 0 1 2	The Party of the P	
Single Curb Ramp serving two crosswalks (ska Diagonal Curb Ramp)? [
Ramp #1 - General Accessibility Criteria Note: For Parallel and Continution Curb numbering diagram and use subrequent form sheets to evaluate each rampfanding separate	Range with multiple range/andings attach the appropriate As [
Curb ramp connection to the roadway completely within crosswalk markings?	Yes No NA	
	Yes No NA-	
	Yes No Nik - Ramp does not connect to readway	
Does a Pedestrian Circulation Path intersect the ramp at a right angle?		
	Yes No (it.g.; vertical curb present)	
Grade breaks at top and bottom of the ramp are perpendicular to the direct		Gurb Ramp Evaluation Form
		Sheet of
Beyond the curb face, Clear Space is provided (4 ft. x 4 ft. min.) entirely w markings (at marked crossings) and wholly outside of the parallel vehicle t	navel lane(s)? NA -Ramp does not connect to roadway	Project Title
		Northbound
Is the ramp's vertical alignment planar (i.e.; its vertical profile does not con	tain angle point(s)[?	Local Street Other N/A
	Yes No NA - Ramp-does not connect to roadway he walkway flush?	Local Street Other N/A
Are the grade breaks where the curb ramp connects to its landing and/or t		Let al Street Other ONA
Is there a grating, access cover, or utility object located on the ramp?		
and the second sec	Yes No # Yes," Describe	and and Construction Curb Rampa
	I in dry conditions) 🔲 No - Verified during precipitation event	gram and use subsequent form alterits
Ramp #1 - Slopes and Dimensions (Nexe: For Pavalel and Continuation Curb Ramp diagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each ramp/anding separahily.)	a with multiple namps/landings attach the appropriate numbering	Shutz
	Contraction (C) (Constant (C))	CCC V CC C
Clear width (Ft) Cross slope (%) Running slope (%)	Counter slope (%) Flare slope #1(%)	
Ramp #1 - Detectable Warning Surface (New For Paralel and Combination Curb- ose subsequent form sheets to evaluate each rampTanding separately.)	Ramps with multiple ramps landings Flare slope #2(%)	el de la
Detectable Warning Surface present? Wes No Installed at back of		and the second state of th
Contrast Sinks on Andrita the Society of		are perpendicular to the direction of wheeled mobility device travel? Yes No
Depth (Ft.) Width (Ft.) Contrast (spit on darkidark on spit) / Truncated domes aligned perpendicul		mas.) entirely within the crosswalk social vehicle travel anels/? No
The state of the property of t		
	Print Form	rical profiles do not contain angle point(s)[?
		AP Yes No NX-Landing does not connect to stadway
		ts tamp(s), the roadway, and/or the walkway flush?
	Grating, access cover, or utility object located on	the landing? Ves No #"Ves," Describe
	Grating, access cover, or utility object located in	
	connects to roadway?	N/A - Landing does not connect to madway
	Standing water in landing?	s 🔲 Unknown (Le; observed in dry conditions) 🔲 No - Verified during precipitation even
	Landing #1 - Slopes and Dimensions Now Yor Par	ratel and Condination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps landings attach the appropriate numbering
	dagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each ro	
	Clear width (Ft.) . Clear length (Pt.)	Cross slope (%) Burning slope (%) Counter slope (%)
	Landing #1 - Detectable Warning Surface pase P	or Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple temps/landings use subsequent form sheeth
	to evaluate each ramp/landing separately.)	NR-Landing set connected to readway
		No Installed at back of curb? Yes No .
		ht on darkidark on light)? Yes No Colur?
	Truncated d	Iomes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the gutter?
	Field Notes (Site-Specific Observations) -	
		Print Form
	L	
		5

Preparing for the field evaluation

Also, print out a copy of the Curb Ramp Numbering Diagram (CRND) Index for reference out in the field. The CRNDs are designed to promote consistent data entry on the CREF. As of 3/9/12, the CRND library covers all perpendicular and parallel curb ramps. In the near future, the CRND library will be expanded to cover the wide variety of combination curb ramps as well as blended transitions.

RAMP

SLOPE

L#1

LANDING

RUNNING SLOPE & LENGTH

RAMP

& WIDTH

CROSS SLOPE

RUNNING

LANDING

& WDTH

CROSS SLOPE



Field data entry

The CREF is designed to be legible in the field for field data entry.

The heading data can be entered later during final data entry back at the office...



Washington State	Curb Ramp Evaluation Form Reset Form
Washington State Destrument of Transportation	Sheet 1 of
Date of Investigation	2012 Project Title
Region (Area)	Field Investigator(s)
somporated City No Yes If "Yes," Nan	ne of City Project Development Phase
In Ramp Localing (Lee Table Below and Indicate	te on Figure to the Right) Northbound
North Leg SR 999 KS	
South Leg SR 999 KS	R Local Street Other N/A
West Leg Eur ST. S	R Local Street Other N/A
East Leg ELM ST. S	R Local Street Other N/A
Curb Ramp - General Information	
Curb Ramp Type Perpendicular Para	allel Combination Missing
Parallel Curb Ramp, number of ramps	
Combination Curb Ramp, number of perpe	ndicular ramps 1 2
Combination Curb Ramp, number of paralle	
Number of Landings (Turning Spaces)	0 2 1 2
Single Curb Ramp serving two crosswalks (al	
Ramp #1 - General Accessibility Criteria [Note umbering diagram and use subsequent form sheets to e	: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate evaluate each ramp/landing separately.]
Curb ramp connection to the roadway completely	within crosswalk markings? Yes No NA- UNHARKO
fatched by another curb ramp/landing at the other	r end of the crosswalk? Yes No N/A-
tamp alignment meets the gutter grade break	k at a right angle? Yes No N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway
Does a Pedestrian Circulation Path intersect	the ramp at a right angle? Yes, from both sides 🗌 Yes, from one side 🗌 No
"Yes," is a flare present on each intersected	d side of the ramp? Yes No (e.g.; vertical curb present) N/A
and breaks at top and bottom of the ramp a	are perpendicular to the direction of wheeled mobility device travel? Yes DNo
	d (4 ft. x 4 ft. min.) entirely within the crosswalk Yes No
narkings (at marked crossings) and wholly or	
the ramp's vertical alignment planar [i.e.; its	s vertical profile does not contain angle point(s)]?
s the connection between the curb ramp and	
re the grade breaks where the curb ramp co	nnects to its landing and/or the walkway flush?
s there a grating, access cover, or utility obje	ct located on the ramp? Yes No If "Yes," Describe
rating, access cover, or utility object located in gu	
	Yes Unknown (Le.; observed in dry conditions) No - Verified during precipitation event
tamp #1 - Slopes and Dimensions [Note: For P agram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate early a state of the state o	araitel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate numbering ch ramp/landing separately.]
Clear width (Ft.) 3 Cross slope (%) 3/	Running slope (%) 1.4 Counter slope (%) 5.7 Flare slope #1(%) 17.0
tamp #1 - Detectable Warning Surface (Note: se subsequent form sheets to evaluate each rampitand	For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings ing separately.] N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway Flare slope #2(%)
etectable Warning Surface present?	
Contrast	(light on dark/dark on light)?
Pepth (Ft.) Width (Ft.)	d domes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the gutter?
1 Militate	
	Print Form

Field data entry

However, it is <u>very important</u> that the location data for each evaluated ramp and landing be carefully entered in the location data fields on each page.

This is to prevent "mis-matching" of data back at the office (i.e.; to ensure that the correct landing data is attached to the correct ramp data).



Washington State	Curb Ramp Evaluation Form Reset Form
Department of Transportation	Sheet 1 of
Date of Investigation	2012 Project Title
Region (Area)	Field Investigator(s)
Incorporated City City Ito Tes If "Yes," Na	
C to Ramp Location (Use Table Below and Indica	te on Figure to the Right) Northbound
North Leg SR 999	SR Local Street Other N/A
South Leg SR 999	SR Local Street Other N/A
West Leg Err ST.	SR Local Street Other NV
ELLES ELM ST.	SR Local Street Other A
Curb Ramp - Control Information	
Curb Ramp Type X Perpendicular	aner Combination Missing
If Parellat Garb Ramp, number of ramps	
If Combination Curb Ramp, number of perpe	endicular ramps 1 2
If Combination Curb Ramp, number of paral	lel ramps 1 2 3
Number of Landings (Turning Spaces)	
Single Curb Ramp serving two crosswalks (a	aka Diagonal Curb Ramp)? Yes 🗌 No
Ramp #1 - General Accessibility Criteria [Noti numbering diagram and use subsequent form sheets to	b: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate each ramp/landing separately.]
Curb ramp connection to the roadway completely	within crosswalk markings? Yes No NA- UNHARKOD
Matched by another curb ramp/landing at the other	er end of the crosswalk?
Ramp alignment meets the gutter grade brea	sk at a right angle? Yes No N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway
Does a Pedestrian Circulation Path intersect	the ramp at a right angle? Yes, from both sides Yes, from one side
If "Yes," is a flare present on each intersecte	d side of the ramp? Yes No (e.g.; vertical curb present)
Grade breaks at top and bottom of the ramp	are perpendicular to the direction of wheeled mobility device travel? Yes DNc
Beyond the curb face, Clear Space is provide markings (at marked crossings) and wholly o	ed (4 ft. x 4 ft. min.) entirely within the crosswalk Yes No utside of the parallel vehicle travel lane(s)? N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadwa
Is the ramp's vertical alignment planar [i.e.; it	s vertical profile does not contain angle point(s)]?
Is the connection between the curb ramp and	d gutter flush? Yes No N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway
Are the grade breaks where the curb ramp of	onnects to its landing and/or the walkway flush?
Is there a grating, access cover, or utility obje	ect located on the ramp? Yes No If "Yes," Describe
Grating, access cover, or utility object located in g	utter at base of ramp? Yes No if "Yes," Describe
Standing water at base of ramp?	Yes Unknown (i.e.; observed in dry conditions) 🔲 No - Verified during precipitation event
Ramp #1 - Slopes and Dimensions [Note: For F diagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate ex-	Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate numbering sch rampfanding separately.]
Clear width (Ft.) 3 Cross slope (%) 3	4 Running slope (%) 11.4 Counter slope (%) 5.7 Flare slope #1(%) 17.
	For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings
use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each rampilance Detectable Warning Surface present?	
Contrac	(light on dark/dark on light)?
Depth (Ft.) Width (Ft.)	ad domes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the gutter?
	Print Form
· · · · · ·	(J
	ð

Field data entry

Pages 2 – 8 of the CREF include areas to write field notes of site-specific observations.



	Curb Ramp Evaluation Form
Washington State Department of Transportation	Sheet of
Date of Investigation 5 / 9	/ I Project Title
Curb Ramp Location (Use Table Below and Ind	Nedbhound
North Leg SR 999	SR Local Street Other N/A
	SR Local Street Other N/A
	SR Local Street Other N/A
	SR Clocal Street Other N/A
Landing #1 - General Accessibility Criteria with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate n to evaluate each rampilanding separately.]	
Landing connection to the roadway completely within crosswalk markings?	
the other end of the crosswalk?	
Grade breaks where landing connects to ramp(s)/walkway are perpendicular to the direction of wheeled mobility device travel? Yes DN
Beyond the curb face, Clear Space is provided markings (at marked crossings) and wholly out	(4 ft, x 4 ft, min.) entirely within the crosswalk side of the parallel vehicle travel lane(s)?
s the landing's vertical alignments planar	i.e.; its vertical profiles do not contain angle point(s)]?
the connection between the landing and	gutter flush? Yes No N/A - Landing does not connect to roadway
Are the grade breaks where the landing co	nnects to its ramp(s), the roadway, and/or the walkway flush?
Grating access cover, or utility object local	ted on the landing? Yes No If "Yes," Describe
Grating, access cover, or utility object local connects to hadway?	ted in gutter where landing Yes No If "Yes." Describe
Standing water Nanding?	Yes Unknown (i.e.; observed in dry conditions) No - Verified during precipitation even
Landing #1 - Slopes and Dimensions [Note: diagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate	For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps landings attach the appropriate numbering each rampflanding separately.]
Clear width (Ft.)	4 Cross slope (%) 3.4 Running slope (%) 4.2 Counter slope (%)
Landing #1 - Detectable Warning Surface o evaluate each ramp/landing separates	Note: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings use subsequent form sheet
	/es No Installed at back of curb? Yes no
Depth (Ft.)	ast (light on dark/dark on light) ?
	ated domes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the gutter?
ield Notes (Site-Specific Observations) -	
relations (site specific observations)	
	Print Form



The "Reset Form" button will clear ALL user entered data from every sheet in the form file.

Note that the "of #" sheet pull down menu does not contain the number 1.

That is because the last sheet (page 8) of the form file should always be included with the evaluation. The minimum number of sheets of 2 results either when a curb ramp is "missing" from a location - OR – when evaluating a ramp that is missing its required landing. A typical curb ramp consisting of a ramp and a landing will have a total of 3 sheets (pages 1, 2, and 8 of the file). As discussed previously, curb ramp types that incorporate more ramps/landings will require more sheets (1 sheet per ramp and 1 sheet per landing).

Data Entry and Form

Function

Washington State Department of Transportation	Curl	b Ramp Eval	uation	Form	Reset Form			
Date of Investigation 05 1 / 16	Date of Investigation 05 1 / 16 1 / 2012 Project Title SR 999 - Paving from Here to There							
Region (Area) Northwest Region (Sno/K	ing) 📩 F	Field Investigator(s) R. Anne	e, R. Andy				
Incorporated City 📃 No 🗙 Yes If "Yes," Na	me of City	Gotham	Project	Development Phase	•			
Curb Ramp Location (Use Table Below and Indica	ate on Figure to th	ne Right)			Planning Scoping			
North Leg	SR 📃 Local	Street Other	N/A		Design (Existing)			
	SR 📃 Local	Street Other [_ N/A		Design (Proposed) Construction (As-Built)			
West Leg	SR Local	Street Other	N/A					

The general project and site information to be entered into the form header should be readily available.

"Incorporated City" information could be relevant for maintenance responsibilities and application of design standards.

The **"Project Development Phase"** pull down is designed to encourage use of the CREF for a variety of project purposes.

Note: Applicable heading information that is entered into sheet 1 is automatically transferred to the other sheets in the form file.

Data Entry and Form

Function

Incorporated Cit	ty 📃 No 🗙 Yes 🛛 If "Ye	s," Name of (City Got	tham	Project	Development Phase	Design (Existing)	·
Curb Ramp Loc	ation (Use Table Below and	Indicate on F	Figure to the Right)				Northbound	
North Leg	SR 999	SR [Local Street	Other	N/A			
South Leg	SR 999	SR [Local Street	Other	N/A			
West Leg	Elm Street	SR :	× Local Street	Other	N/A			
East Leg	Elm Street	SR 2	× Local Street	Other	N/A			
Curb Ramp - Ge	eneral Information							
Curb Ramp Typ	Curb Ramp Type Perpendicular Parallel Combination Missing							
If Parallel Curb	Ramp, number of ramps	i 🗌 1 [2					
If Combination (Curb Ramp, number of	perpendic	ular ramps	1	2			
If Combination (Curb Ramp, number of	oarallel rai	mps] 1	2 3			
Number of Land	lings (Turning Spaces)	0	1 2				ш (<u>)</u>	Ш

The Curb Ramp Location Table and the intersection schematic with radio buttons are to be used in tandem to identify the location of the curb ramp location being evaluated.

Checking the "**Other**" box indicates that the intersection leg is a high ADT commercial driveway or a private road.

Checking the "**N/A**" box indicates that the intersection leg does not exist at this intersection (such as at a "T-intersection").

Note: The Curb Ramp Location information entered into sheet 1 is automatically transferred to the other sheets in the form file.

The intersection schematic with radio buttons is designed to cover all the potential locations for curb ramps at an intersection and at midblock crossings as well.



West Leg	Elm Street	SR X Local Street Other N/A
FastLeg	Elm Street	SR X Local Street Other N/A
Curb Ramp - G	eneral Information	
Curb Ramp Typ	e 🗙 Perpendicular 🗌	Parallel Combination Missing
If Parallel Curb	Ramp, number of ramps	
If Combination	Curb Ramp, number of p	
If Combination	Curb Ramp, number of p	parallel ramps 1 2 3 3
Number of Land	dings (Turning Spaces)	
Single Curb Ra	mp serving two crosswa	alks (aka Diagonal Curb Ramp)?

The Curb Ramp General Information section is used to indicate the type of curb ramp at the location, which in turn sets the expectation of how many ramps/landings need to be evaluated and thus how many total sheets should be included in the CREF (1 sheet per each ramp or landing + the last sheet {page 8} in the form file).



Perpendicular

Parallel

Data Entry and Form

Function

Ramp #1 - General Accessibility Criteria [Note: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate numbering diagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each ramp/landing separately.]								
Curb ramp connection to the roadway completely within crosswalk markings?	Yes	No No	X N/A - Unmarked Crossing					
Matched by another curb ramp/landing at the other end of the crosswalk?	× Yes	No No	N/A -					
Ramp alignment meets the gutter grade break at a right angle?	Ramp alignment meets the gutter grade break at a right angle? 🔀 Yes 📃 No 📃 N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway							
Does a Pedestrian Circulation Path intersect the ramp at a right angle? 🛛 Yes, from both sides 📄 Yes, from one side 📄 No								
If "Yes," is a flare present on each intersected side of the ramp?	X Yes	📃 No (e.g.; vertical curb present) 📃 N/A					
Grade breaks at top and bottom of the ramp are perpendicular to the di	irection o	of wheele	ed mobility device travel? X Yes No					
Beyond the curb face, Clear Space is provided (4 ft. x 4 ft. min.) entirely within the crosswalk markings (at marked crossings) and wholly outside of the parallel vehicle travel lane(s)?								
Is the ramp's vertical alignment planar [i.e.; its vertical profile does not contain angle point(s)]?								
Is the connection between the curb ramp and gutter flush?	× Yes	No No	N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway					
Are the grade breaks where the curb ramp connects to its landing and/	or the wa	alkway fl	lush? X Yes No					
Is there a grating, access cover, or utility object located on the ramp? Yes X No If "Yes," Describe								
Grating, access cover, or utility object located in gutter at base of ramp? X Yes No If "Yes," Describe Catch Basin								
Standing water at base of ramp?	rved in dr	y conditio	ons) 🗙 No - Verified during precipitation event					

The General Accessibility Criteria section for each ramp/landing is used to gather information needed to determine compliance with state and federal accessibility standards. **Each question asked of the evaluator in this section is directly related to an ADA/WSDOT Design Manual requirement.** Contact either the Statewide ADA Trainer or your Regional ADA Coordinator if you have questions.

Data Entry and Form

Function

Ramp #1 - Slopes diagram and use subs				Ramps with	multiple ramp	s/landings	attach th	ne appropriate numberi	ng
Clear width (Ft.)	3.0 Cross slope	(%) 4.2 Rt	unning slope (%)	10.6 C	ounter slop	e (%)	+ 4.5	Flare slope #1(%)	14.2
Ramp #1 - Detect use subsequent form s	<u> </u>			n Curb Ramps I/A - Ramp doe				Flare slope #2(%)	4.6
Detectable Warnin	ng Surface present	? 🗌 Yes 📃 N	No Installed at ba	ack of curb	? 🗌 Yes 🛛	No	-		<u>·</u>
Depth (Ft.)	Width (Ft.)		t on dark/dark on li	• •	Yes		Color?		•
		Truncated don	mes aligned perpe	endicular to	the grade	break in	the gut	ter?	No
								Print For	m

The Slopes and Dimensions section for each ramp/landing is used to input the physical measurements of the ramp/landing that are needed to determine compliance with state and federal accessibility standards.

Enter "**Counter slope**" data with either a (+) or a (-) sign depending on whether the crosswalk slopes up away from the connection to the gutter (+) or rather down away from the connection to the gutter (-).

If flares are not present, or if counter slope evaluation is not applicable because the feature does not connect to the crosswalk, then **"N/A"** can be entered into these boxes.

	Ramp #1 - Detectable Warning Surface [Note: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each ramp/landing separately.] N/A - Ramp does not connect to roadway	Flare slope #2(%) 4.6
Ш	Detectable Warning Surface present? X Yes No Installed at back of curb? Yes X No 2 Depth (Ft.) 2.0 Width (Ft.) 2.6 Contrast (light on dark/dark on light)? X Yes No Color? Truncated domes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the guide Truncated domes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the guide	inches from back of curb Federal Yellow itter? X Yes No
		Print Form

The Detectable Warning Surface section for each ramp/landing is used to evaluate the placement and other criteria for the DWS if the ramp/landing is connected to the roadway.

Note: For DWS evaluation, it is important that the correct Curb Ramp Numbering Diagram is used and that measurements for ramp/landing width are taken in the directions shown on the diagram.

In this example, the perpendicular curb ramp's landing is then evaluated on sheet 2.

Data entry is similar to sheet 1.

The project and location data from sheet 1 is automatically transferred to all sheets upon input, and if the data is changed on any sheet then it will automatically update on all sheets.

Washington State Department of Transportation Sheet 2 of Date of Investigation 05 / / 16 / 2012 Project Title SR 999 - Paving from Here to There Curb Ramp Location (Use Table Below and Indicate on Figure to the Right) Northbox North Leg SR 999 X SR Local Street Other N/A								
Curb Ramp Location (Use Table Below and Indicate on Figure to the Right) Northbou								
	Date of Investigation 05 - / 18 - / 2012 - Project Title SR 999 - Paving from Here to There							
North Leg SR 999 X SR Local Street Other N/A	ind							
South Leg SR 999 X SR Local Street Other N/A								
West Leg Eim Street SR X Local Street Other N/A								
East Leg Eim Street SR X Local Street Other N/A	1							
Landing #1 - General Accessibility Criteria (Note: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps/landings attach the appropriate numbering diagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each ramp/landing separately.)								
Landing connection to the roadway								
completely within crosswalk markings?								
Matched by another curb ramp/landing at Yes No								
the other end of the crosswalk?								
Grade breaks where landing connects to ramp(s)/walkway are perpendicular to the direction of wheeled mobility device travel XYes	🔲 No							
Beyond the curb face, Clear Space is provided (4 ft. x 4 ft. min.) entirely within the crosswalk								
markings (at marked crossings) and wholly outside of the parallel vehicle travel lane(s)?	roadway							
Is the landing's vertical alignments planar [i.e.; its vertical profiles do not contain angle point(s)]?	No 📃							
Is the connection between the landing and gutter flush? Yes No X N/A - Landing does not connect to road								
Are the grade breaks where the landing connects to its ramp(s), the roadway, and/or the walkway flush?	No 🗌							
Grating, access cover, or utility object located on the landing?								
Grating, access cover, or utility object located in gutter where landing								
connects to roadway? X N/A - Landing does not connect to roadway								
Standing water in landing? [Ves Unknown (i.e.; observed in dry conditions) X No - Verified during precipitation								
Landing #1 - Slopes and Dimensions [Note: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps] andings attach the appropriate numbering diagram and use subsequent form sheets to evaluate each ramp1anding separately.]								
Clear width (Ft.) 3.0 Clear length (Ft.) 4.0 Cross slope (%) 4.2 Running slope (%) 3.6 Counter slope (%)	N/A							
Landing #1 - Detectable Warning Surface [Note: For Parallel and Combination Curb Ramps with multiple ramps landings use subsequent for to evaluate each ramplanding separately.] [X N/A - Landing not connected to roadwa								
Detectable Warning Surface present? Yes No Installed at back of curb? Yes No								
Contract (light on dark (dark on light) 2								
Depth (Ft.) Width (Ft.) Truncated domes aligned perpendicular to the grade break in the gutter?	No							
Field Notes (Site-Specific Observations) -								
Most grades and dimensions could be fixed if curb/short wall installed at back of landing.								
Most grades and dimensions could be lixed if curb/short wait installed at back of landing.								
Print	rm							

Sheets that are not used in the form file (due to the type of curb ramp being evaluated and its Curb Ramp Numbering Diagram) can be marked "N/U."

This is recommended both as a reminder not to print the sheet and, if the sheet is accidentally printed, as a reminder that the sheet does not belong in the final product.

Curb Ramp Evaluation Form								
			Sheet	N/	U I of	f 3	·	
• /	/ 2012 Project Title SR 999 - Paving from Here to					om Here to TI		
ndicate on Figure to the Right)								
× SF	R	Loca	l Street	0	ther	N/A		
× SF	R	📃 Loca	l Street	0	ther	N/A	1	
	2		l Stroot		ther	Ν/Δ	1	L.

After filling out the necessary form pages based on the number of ramps/landings at the curb ramp location, it is then time to fill out page 8 of the form file.

As explained earlier, page 8 of the form file is to be included as the last sheet of each evaluation package.

Thus in our perpendicular curb ramp example walkthrough (since our curb ramp has 1 ramp and 1 landing that we evaluated) page 8 becomes **"Sheet 3 of 3"** in our final product.





Navigate to where you saved the jpeg photo file and select it.







Generating Curb Ramp Documentation

The form is now complete and ready to generate documentation of the evaluation.

The form can be printed with a number of different commands -

"Print Form" button from any form sheet

Print Icon

File>Print command

Ctrl-click sheet selection from pages/bookmarks menu



Generating Curb Ramp Documentation

To create electronic file documentation of the evaluation that can be printed to hard-copy as needed –

Select "**PDF995**" as your printer ("**Adobe PDF**" for Adobe Pro users)

Select the pages used in the evaluation (for a typical curb ramp with one ramp and one landing {like this walkthrough example} that will be **pages 1-2, 8**)

Select "**OK**" to save the file to your hard drive

Pages T	Curb Ramp Evaluation Form
	Washington State Department of Transpertation Sheet S. of S.
🚯 🧐 ·	Date of Investigation 10 • / 16 • / 2012 • Project Title 18 09 - Paving from Here to There
7 8	Choose paper source by POP page size Use outrom paper size when needed Print to file Print color as black. I/3 (1) Advanced Summarize Comments OK Cancel
\$	With state and federal standards? Image: State and Federal standards?

Generating Curb Ramp Documentation

You now have an electronic PDF file documenting the evaluation that consists ONLY of the sheets actually used in the evaluation for that particular curb ramp location (in this case, 3 sheets).

It is recommended that you develop a unique project specific file naming system for cataloguing the electronic documentation files.



Curb Ramp Accessibility Criteria Challenge

-	Curk Ramp Evaluation Form
The statement of the statement of the	there is a list
Interestigation () () () ()	A Property free
Region (Area)	1 Part Investigation
management City 11 Per 11 Per a Tana Tana	
Curl Range Location (Inc. Tana lance and industry	
Nerth-Leg	I land litest (100m 11 Mil)
South Lang	Land Meet Citize 161
Aver 1 ag	I locational Colline Collins
Realized Consider Street Links	
Curls Rang - General Information	
Cut farm fore Personalized Face	
Prevaler Carls Range receiver of carrying	and the second second
Continues Cut Rang, harder of pergen	diale sease [1 4 [1 4
Contemption Curlt Rang, Number of parallel	
Nation of Landings (Turning Typeson)	10.4 [0.4]
lingth Cutt Planty serving her unmenden (all	a Degree Cut Renard Inc. No.
Terra #1 - Densing Accessibility Coloria Seas	for Parallel and Continuation Carls Ramon with Analysis respective risings allocit the supremum
hiribelig Agen and on scherung fair chair to a	
Cut-ong conseller is the nucleag acquitely a	
Nation is easily with languaging a the shee	
Pare algorant meth the pather grack treat	
Does a Pedestrue Chuddox Path eligned 1	at and only a second
""ret," is a flow present on each othersected	
instellement of the and instend of the range of	and so that we want to be a second of the second
Neylord The surk fleet, Clear Space is provider markings (at marked unsamps) and which so	r (1 %, s 4 % intelligent) and any and the processing to the second seco
inclusion of a solution of a solution of the s	central profes many not contact anys parents (
the contraction between the purchasing and	
Are the grade locality where the carts range our	ments to its larving and/or the andhers \$1017
a Term a grading, access sover, or solidy store	
have some one of all dust many a pr	
Sectory adar at loss of large?	The Contrast is alternal to be conditioned [1] the Stationing projectation control
Name #1 Taxant and Taxantains have furth	and an instante lab farm all rulate manipering and he paralise rulating
right an as idealar for part 5 will be to	a margine in a second as
Dear webh Ptr Com Age for	Reveny days (h) Counter stage (h) Plan days P(h)
Rang PT - Detectative Manning Surface Inpa. 1 He subsequent force dealers in automation	The day strength and the second strength and the secon
Interistic Paring Turbus propert"	for president at teach of specific free (No. 1)
Ingel des manufes Derman	Right on Andreson on Ages 7 22 free 22 Am State? Street 4
	i dores algrei peseraluiz is the guie insit is the gater? 🔅 'in 🖾 for
	Frint Farm
	Print Form



Curb Ramp Design Tools_v6







Determining Radii of Sharp Curves by Field Measurements



Note: Points A and C may be any two points on the curve











Legend:

 $E_{(+)}$ = Elevation difference between proposed surface and existing sidewalk surface at corner of grade break [See other tabs in this workbook for tools to assist in determining this value]

g_{rdwy} = General grade of the adjacent roadway

 L_P = Linear distance between the origin and the proposed match existing point measured parallel to the roadway

 L_{M} = Linear distance of total meander at roadway grade required to match existing <u>measured along the meander</u>

Notes:

1. On steep roadways where space is available, this design solution can eliminate the potentially hazardous grade break (i.e.; with an algebraic difference >13% and/or skewed) that could result if a 15 ft. long ramp with a running slope steeper than the roadway grade was used. This solution will reduce the algebraic difference of the grade break and enable the grade break to be at a right angle to the path

2. No credit can be taken toward achieving the required L_M distance for any segment of the meander that runs parallel to the roadway.

3. If the E₍₊₎ value for the forward point on the landing happens to be greater than at the back of the landing (this will not typically be the case), then the required L_M distance should be evaluated based on the roadway side of the sidewalk.

4. If the corner of the grade break being used to evaluate the $E_{(+)}$ value is slightly offset from the existing sidewalk (such as is shown in the diagram to the left), then use the existing sidewalk elevation extended perpendicular to the roadway to determine $E_{(+)}$ (or extended parallel to the roadway to determine E(+)(alt)).

Grade Calculators and Converters			
Grade = Run = ft. Rise = ft.			
Rise =ft. Run =ft. Grade =			
Grade = Rise = ft. Run = ft.			
Percentage Grade = Slope Ratio = 1V: H			
Slope Ratio = 1V: H Percentage Grade =			
Basic Calculator			
+ 0.00 - 0.00 X 0.00 ÷ 0.00			















 E_2

E₁

Vote See

Notes:

1. As a general rule, warping of pedestrian facility elements should be avoided if at all possible. However, when regrading of the roadway is beyond the scope of a project then warping an element to meet the existing gutter line profile is sometimes necessary. The need for Maximum Extent Feasible documentation is often triggered by this scenario. The purpose of these tools are to evaluate the extent of the warp and provide the ability to play "what if" with the design and tweak the grades to develop the most accessible design possible in these circumstances. As a rule of thumb, grade g_{1.2} (on the downhill side of the element) should be designed as the steeper grade on the element (8.3% max./7.5% or less desirable for ramps and 2% max./1.5% or less desirable for landings), allowing in most cases for flatter grades along the backside of the element (grade $g_{2,3}$) and the uphill side of the element (grade $g_{4,3}$).

2. Enter either an assumed (i.e.; 100 ft.) or actual elevation for E₁.

3. If $L_{2,3}$ and L_{BOC} are on concentric radii, see the Radius Tools tab for a tool to assist in calculating the length of L_{BOC}.

4. IF either of grades g_{BOC}, g_{1.2}, or g_{2.3} are downhill in the direction indicated by the arrows on the schematic, then the downhill grade(s) will need to be entered with a negative sign (-). [This will not typically be the case.]

5. An alert message of "TWISTED!" indicates that two opposite sides of the element are sloped in opposite directions. This situation should be carefully analyzed for potential drainage, accessibility, and/or constructability issues.



Training available through Washington State Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) Training Program -

"Pedestrian Accommodation Workshop"

- {16 hours}





Questions?